UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RELATED CASE ORDER

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related or a *Sua Sponte* Judicial Referral for Purpose of Determining Relationship (Civil L.R. 3-12) has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case below that bears my initials, I find that the more recently filed case(s) that I have initialed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such case(s) shall be reassigned to me. Any cases listed below that are not related to the case assigned to me are referred to the judge assigned to the next-earliest filed case for a related case determination.

| <u>C 11-05774</u> | EJD_ | Kenny et al v. Carrier IQ, Inc et al | |
|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
| <u>C 11-05802 HRL</u> | | Steiner v. Carrier IQ, Inc | |
| | I find that | the above case is related to the case assigned to meEJD | |
| <u>C 11-05820 </u> | EMC_ | <u>Pipkin et al v. Carrier IQ, Inc et al</u> | |
| | I find that | the above case is related to the case assigned to meEJD | |
| <u>C 11-05821 S</u> | | Silvera et al v. Carrier IQ, Inc et al the above case is related to the case assigned to meEJD | |
| <u>C 11-05842</u>] | <u>_</u> | Patrick et al v. Carrier IQ, Inc the above case is related to the case assigned to meEJD | |
| <u>C 11-05975</u>] | | Padilla et al v. Carrier IQ, Inc the above case is related to the case assigned to meEJD | |

ORDER

Counsel are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and report required by FRCivP 16 and 26 accordingly.

Case5:11-cv-05774-EJD Document17 Filed12/14/11 Page2 of 3

Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be renoticed by the moving party before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the ADR Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned judge.

Dated: December 13, 2011

Judge Edward J. Davila

CLERK'S NOTICE

The Court sets a Case Management Conference for March 16, 2012 at 10:00 AM as to ALL of the newly related cases. On or before March 9, 2012, the parties shall file a joint case management conference statement in each respective case docket. Any previously pending noticed motions shall be renoticed before the newly assigned Judge. A hearing date must first be secured by contacting the Courtroom Deputy Clerk. Further information regarding Judge Davila's scheduling procedures can be found on the Court's website.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the date stated below, I lodged a copy of this order with each judicial officer and I mailed a copy to each counsel of record or *pro se* party in the cases listed above.

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

| DATED: | December 14, 2011 | By: Chizabeth C. Garcia |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | , <u> </u> | Deputy Clerk |

Copies to: Courtroom Deputies
Case Systems Administrators
Counsel of Record
Entered into Assignment Program: ______(date)